Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/816,756	CHAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew Heneghan	2139	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) Matthew Heneghan.	(3)		
(2) <u>Robert Bain</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 22 February 2008	Time: <u>12:05 PM EST</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner proposed an amendment to claim 17 to bring the case to allowability. Attorney Bain agreed to the amendment. Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
/Matthew Heneghan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2139	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)